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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.065$
$w R$ factor $=0.159$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=14.1$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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## 3,5-Bis(salicylideneamino)-1H-1,2,4-triazole methanol solvate

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{O}$, there are intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Molecules form dimers, which are extended to afford a ribbon structure. These ribbons are further packed, forming a threedimensional grid structure.

## Comment

Azole subunits are frequently present in biologically active compounds (Street et al., 1995). Triazole derivatives have been studied as anti-inflammatory drug candidates and also been used as ligands for binding Pt and Ru to form antitumor metal complexes (Komeda et al., 2002). As a consequence, much ongoing effort has been devoted to derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole and their metal complexes for medical use. However, derivatives of 3,5-diamino-1 H -1,2,4-triazole have not been well studied (El-Hefnawy et al., 1993; Elshani et al., 2005). We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), which is a Schiff base derived from 3,5-diamino- $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,4$ triazole.

(I)

In (I), there are intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Table 1). The two benzene rings (ring $1=\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ and ring $3=$ $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 16$ ) and the triazole ring (ring $2=\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{N} 2 / \mathrm{C} 8 / \mathrm{N} 4 / \mathrm{C} 9$ ) are almost in the same plane (Fig. 1), the angles between rings 1 and 2 , and between rings 2 and 3 being 3.7 (2) and 3.3 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively. The bond lengths of the triazole ring are very similar to other $1 \mathrm{H}-1,2,4$-triazole derivatives (Claramunt et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001). The triazole and methanol molecules are linked through $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) to form dimers, which are extended by weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions to afford a ribbon structure (Fig. 2). These ribbons are further packed through weak C $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds, forming a three-dimensional grid structure.

## Experimental

The title compound, (I), was prepared, in $60 \%$ yield, by condensation of 3,5-diamino-1 H -1,2,4-triazole with salicylaldehyde in a $1: 2$ ratio in hot methanol. Suitable crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization from a methanol solution. Analysis found: C 60.15, H 5.15 , N $20.58 \%$; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C $60.17, \mathrm{H} 5.05, \mathrm{~N}$ 20.64\%.
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Figure 1
A view of the molecular structure of (I), showing $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids. Two molecules form a dimer through $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) [symmetry code: (i) $2-x$, $1-y,-z]$.


Figure 2
A view of the ribbon structure of (I). The ribbons are arranged in crosslinking directions, forming a three-dimensional grid structure [symmetry codes: (ii) $-x, 2-y,-z$; (iii) $-2+x, 1+y,-z$; (iv) $\frac{3}{2}-x$, $\left.-\frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z\right]$.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{O} \\
& M_{r}=339.36 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / n \\
& a=5.8562(7) \AA \\
& b=9.1651(12) \AA \\
& c=31.907(4) \AA \\
& \beta=90.332(3)^{\circ} \\
& V=1712.5(4) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

| Bruker SMART APEX CCD area- | 3374 independent reflections |
| :---: | :--- |
| detector diffractometer | 1686 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.053$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\max }=26.0^{\circ}$ |
| $(S A D A B S ;$ Bruker, 2000 $)$ | $h=-7 \rightarrow 6$ |
| $T_{\min }=0.97, T_{\max }=0.98$ | $k=-7 \rightarrow 11$ |
| 9110 measured reflections | $l=-39 \rightarrow 30$ |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.066$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.159$
$S=0.96$
3374 reflections
240 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0643 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$ 。
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.18 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.18 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 5$ | 1.05 (4) | 1.72 (4) | 2.618 (3) | 141 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3$ | 1.06 (4) | 1.77 (4) | 2.645 (3) | 137 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 2$ | 0.89 (4) | 1.91 (4) | 2.773 (3) | 164 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3^{\text {i }}$ | 0.94 (3) | 1.77 (3) | 2.702 (3) | 172 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.79 | 3.694 (4) | 164 |
| C14-H14 $\cdots$ O1 $1^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.93 | 2.81 | 3.522 (4) | 134 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 4^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.93 | 2.64 | 3.481 (4) | 151 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2,-y+1,-z$; (ii) $-x,-y+2,-z$; (iii) $x-2, y+1, z$; (iv) $-x+\frac{3}{2}, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$.

N - and O -bound H atoms were found in a difference Fourier map and their coordinates were refined with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N})$. Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-$ $0.96 \AA$, and included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ (methyl C).

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by a measurement grant from Nanjing University.
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